

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ARTHUR V. WATKINS DAM ENLARGEMENT ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BRALEY of Iowa). The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 839, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GRIJALVA) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 839.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 394, nays 1, not voting 38, as follows:

[Roll No. 159]

YEAS—394

Abercrombie	Clarke	Gohmert
Ackerman	Clay	Gonzalez
Aderholt	Clyburn	Goodlatte
Akin	Coble	Gordon
Alexander	Cohen	Granger
Allen	Cole (OK)	Graves
Altmire	Conaway	Green, Al
Andrews	Conyers	Green, Gene
Arcuri	Cooper	Grijalva
Baca	Costa	Hall (NY)
Bachmann	Costello	Hall (TX)
Bachus	Courtney	Hare
Baird	Cramer	Harman
Baker	Crenshaw	Hastert
Baldwin	Crowley	Hastings (FL)
Barrett (SC)	Culberson	Hastings (WA)
Barrow	Cummings	Hayes
Bartlett (MD)	Davis (AL)	Heller
Barton (TX)	Davis (CA)	Hensarling
Bean	Davis (KY)	Hergert
Becerra	Davis, David	Herseth
Berkley	Davis, Lincoln	Higgins
Berman	Davis, Tom	Hill
Berry	Deal (GA)	Hinche
Biggert	DeFazio	Hinojosa
Bilbray	DeGette	Hirono
Bilirakis	DeLauro	Hobson
Bishop (GA)	Dent	Hodes
Bishop (NY)	Diaz-Balart, L.	Hoekstra
Bishop (UT)	Diaz-Balart, M.	Holden
Blackburn	Dicks	Holt
Blumenauer	Dingell	Honda
Blunt	Doggett	Hooey
Boehner	Donnelly	Hoyer
Bonner	Doolittle	Hulshof
Bono	Doyle	Hunter
Boozman	Drake	Inglis (SC)
Boren	Dreier	Inslee
Boswell	Edwards	Israel
Boucher	Ehlers	Issa
Boustany	Ellison	Jackson (IL)
Boyd (FL)	Ellsworth	Jackson-Lee
Boyd (KS)	Emanuel	(TX)
Braley (IA)	Emerson	Jefferson
Brown (SC)	Engel	Jindal
Brown, Corrine	English (PA)	Johnson (GA)
Brown-Waite,	Eshoo	Johnson, E. B.
Ginny	Etheridge	Johnson, Sam
Burgess	Everett	Jones (NC)
Burton (IN)	Butterfield	Jones (OH)
Buyer	Farr	Jordan
Calvert	Feeney	Kagen
Camp (MI)	Ferguson	Kaptur
Campbell (CA)	Filner	Keller
Cannon	Forbes	Kennedy
Cantor	Fortenberry	Kildee
Capito	Fox	Kilpatrick
Capps	Frank (MA)	Kind
Capuano	Franks (AZ)	King (IA)
Cardoza	Frelinghuysen	King (NY)
Carnahan	Gallely	Kingston
Carney	Garrett (NJ)	Kirk
Carter	Gerlach	Klein (FL)
Castle	Giffords	Kline (MN)
Chabot	Gillibrand	Knollenberg
Chandler	Gingrey	Kuhl (NY)
		LaHood

Lamborn	Musgrave	Shea-Porter
Lampson	Myrick	Sherman
Langevin	Nadler	Shimkus
Lantos	Neal (MA)	Shuler
Larsen (WA)	Neugebauer	Shuster
Larson (CT)	Nunes	Simpson
Latham	Oberstar	Sires
LaTourette	Obey	Skelton
Lee	Oliver	Slaughter
Levin	Ortiz	Smith (NE)
Lewis (CA)	Pallone	Smith (NJ)
Lewis (GA)	Pascarella	Smith (TX)
Lewis (KY)	Pastor	Smith (WA)
Linder	Payne	Snyder
Lipinski	Pearce	Solis
LoBiondo	Perlmutter	Souder
Loeb	Peterson (MN)	Space
Loeb	Peterson (PA)	Spratt
Lofgren, Zoe	Petri	Stark
Lucas	Pickering	Stearns
Lungren, Daniel	Pitts	Stupak
E.	Platts	Sullivan
Lynch	Poe	Sutton
Mack	Pomeroy	Tancredo
Mahoney (FL)	Porter	Tanner
Maloney (NY)	Price (GA)	Tauscher
Manzullo	Price (NC)	Taylor
Marchant	Putnam	Thompson (CA)
Markey	Radanovich	Thompson (MS)
Marshall	Rahall	Thornberry
Matheson	Ramstad	Tiahrt
Matsui	Rangel	Tiberi
McCarthy (CA)	Regula	Tierney
McCarthy (NY)	Rehberg	Turner
McCaul (TX)	Reichert	Udall (CO)
McCollum (MN)	Renzi	Udall (NM)
McCotter	Reyes	Upton
McCrery	Reynolds	Van Hollen
McDermott	Rodriguez	Velázquez
McGovern	Rogers (AL)	Visclosky
McHenry	Rogers (KY)	Walberg
McHugh	Rogers (MI)	Walden (OR)
McIntyre	Rohrabacher	Walsh (NY)
McKeon	Ros-Lehtinen	Walz (MN)
McMorris	Roskam	Wasserman
Rodgers	Ross	Schultz
McNerney	Rothman	Waters
McNulty	Roybal-Allard	Watson
Meek (FL)	Royce	Watt
Melancon	Ruppersberger	Waxman
Mica	Ryan (OH)	Welch (VT)
Michaud	Ryan (WI)	Weldon (FL)
Millender-	Salazar	Weller
McDonald	Sali	Westmoreland
Miller (FL)	Sánchez, Linda	Wexler
Miller (MI)	T.	Whitfield
Miller (NC)	Sarbanes	Wicker
Miller, Gary	Saxton	Wilson (NM)
Miller, George	Schakowsky	Wilson (OH)
Mollohan	Schiff	Wilson (SC)
Moore (KS)	Schmidt	Wolf
Moore (WI)	Schwartz	Woolsey
Moran (KS)	Scott (GA)	Wu
Moran (VA)	Scott (VA)	Wynn
Murphy (CT)	Serrano	Yarmuth
Murphy, Patrick	Sestak	Young (AK)
Murphy, Tim	Shays	
Murtha		

NAYS—1

Paul

NOT VOTING—38

Brady (PA)	Fossella	Pence
Brady (TX)	Gilchrest	Pryce (OH)
Buchanan	Gillmor	Rush
Carson	Goode	Sanchez, Loretta
Castor	Gutierrez	Sensenbrenner
Cleaver	Johnson (IL)	Sessions
Cubin	Kanjorski	Shadegg
Cuellar	Kucinich	Terry
Davis (IL)	Lowe	Towns
Davis, Jo Ann	Meehan	Wamp
Duncan	Meeks (NY)	Weiner
Fattah	Mitchell	Young (FL)
Flake	Napolitano	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised 2 minutes remain in this vote.

□ 1920

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. GUTIERREZ. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably absent from this Chamber today. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall votes 157, 158, and 159.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. FLAKE. Mr. Speaker, I was regrettably absent from the Chamber on March 19 during rollcall votes 157, 158, and 159. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall 157, "nay" on rollcall 158, and "nay" on rollcall 159.

ELECTION OF MEMBER TO COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET

Mr. HODES. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Democratic Caucus, I offer a privileged resolution (H. Res. 253) and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 253

Resolved, That the following named Member be, and is hereby, elected to the following standing committee of the House of Representatives:

(1) COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET.—Ms. Moore of Wisconsin.

Mr. HODES (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be considered as read and printed in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Hampshire?

Mr. McHENRY. Mr. Speaker, I object. I ask the Clerk to read the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

The Clerk will read.

The Clerk continued reading the resolution.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REMARKS ON FOURTH ANNIVERSARY OF IRAQ WAR

(Ms. PELOSI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, as the war in Iraq enters its fifth year, we take time to reflect on those who have made the greatest sacrifices because of this war, our troops. We all salute them because of their courage, their patriotism and the sacrifices they are willing to make. They have done everything asked of them, and we are forever in their debt.

That debt extends to their families, who have also made sacrifices. The missed family events, births of children, deaths of loved ones, graduations, anniversaries, birthdays are losses which cannot be replaced. We owe to these families a renewed commitment

to support them in whatever way may be required and to make sure that our troops have everything they need to do their job and to come home safely and soon.

To those who have been wounded, our Nation has promised to care for you as you have protected us. This is a solemn promise, and it will be honored.

The debt which can never be repaid is to those whose lives have been lost in the war, and as a Nation we mourn them. Their absence is felt each day, each and every one of them; but on this day in particular, their sacrifice should be remembered in a special way. I therefore salute our colleague, Congressman PATRICK MURPHY, for leading us in a moment of silence in memory of his colleagues who were lost in the war and all others as well.

Mr. Speaker, 4 years ago today, our Nation launched a war of choice in Iraq. The war has claimed the lives of over 3,200 American troops and wounded tens of thousands more, some of them permanently.

Any U.S. military engagement has to be judged in three ways: does it make our country safer, our military stronger, and the region in which we are engaged in the conflict more stable. The war in Iraq has failed on all three counts.

In fact, the administration's policy in Iraq has diminished the safety of our country by reducing the strength of our military. The readiness has sunk now to levels lower than Vietnam, it has failed to hold the Iraqis accountable for the future of their own country, and it has dishonored our commitment to our veterans. It has cost billions of dollars and significantly damaged our reputation in the eyes of the world.

When our young men and women are placed in danger, we owe it to them to provide them with the best training and equipment possible and a strategy worthy of their sacrifice.

The generals have told us over and over again, across the board, generals on active duty, General Petraeus as recently as last week, and many retired generals: there is no military solution to the war in Iraq. It cannot be won solely militarily. Instead, we must leverage all of our political, economic, and diplomatic strengths.

Again and again Senator REID, the Democratic leader in the Senate, and I have urged President Bush to adopt a plan for Iraq that contains the following elements:

Change the mission. Transition the mission from combat to training. That will enable us to responsibly redeploy our troops.

Third, we must build consensus for political accommodation in Iraq. They must amend the constitution to be more inclusive to end the civil strife.

Fourth, we must encourage a robust diplomatic effort, primarily involving Iraq's neighbors. The first meeting of neighbors was held. That is a good step. It was at a low level, appro-

priately, and now it has to move to the ministerial level.

We then must reform and reinvigorate the reconstruction effort. \$10 billion is unaccounted for. \$10 billion in thin air of the reconstruction effort is unaccounted for. How do we answer to the American taxpayer, when this war is costing \$2 billion a week on the military side, and on the reconstruction side we can't account for the money?

When we do this, when we transition, when we change the mission, redeploy the troops, build political consensus, engage in diplomatic efforts and reform and reinvigorate the reconstruction effort, then we can turn our attention to the real war on terror, in Afghanistan.

I hear the voice of the future in the Chamber. What a beautiful sound. What a beautiful sound.

Later this week, Mr. Speaker, we will debate a plan to bring the war to an end. The U.S. Troop Readiness, Veterans' Health and Iraq Accountability Act will rebuild our military, protect our troops, provide for our veterans and hold the Iraqi Government accountable.

The benchmarks for the Iraqi Government set forth in this bill are the benchmarks endorsed by President Bush on January 10. They are: improvement in the performance of the Iraqi security forces; a greater commitment by the Iraqi Government to national reconciliation; and reductions in the level of sectarian violence in Iraq.

After 4 years of war, it is reasonable to expect these benchmarks to be met this year. Four years. We are in this war longer than World War II. There is no end in sight. There is no end in sight. There is an unlimited commitment, with no strategy to match the sacrifice of our troops.

Democrats will be offering later in the week, and hopefully with Republican support, we will pass a supplemental that will, that will, place a time frame. And I am really pleased that so many retired generals have come out in support of a time certain that relates to the performance that the President himself established, that the Iraqi Government themselves agreed to.

This isn't anything we created. It is the President's benchmarks. The Iraqis agreed to it. We want to see progress. But if we don't, we will begin the redeployment of our troops out of Iraq in 6 months from that date. Then we will leave troops there for training, for protecting our diplomats, for fighting terrorism, for force protection, but only for those purposes.

I welcome the debate over this bill and the opportunity it provides for Members of Congress to express themselves in what I consider is the greatest ethical challenge to our country, how we send our young men and women into battle; how we send them without the training, without the equipment, without the rest time at home, and overextend them when they are there.

□ 1930

How we send them into battle without plans to honor our commitment to them.

In the military they say: On the battlefield, we will leave no soldier behind. We say: And when they come home, we will leave no veteran behind.

Apparently our country, our great country, has to make a decision for greatness on how we are viewed in the world, on how we project our power and our ideals to make the world a more peaceful place, to honor our commitment to our troops, to honor our commitment to the future, and to honor the sacrifice and the vision of our Founding Fathers.

This is a very important decision for our caucus, for our Congress, for our country, and I hope that the debate will be in the spirit as it was a few weeks ago. It was a great commitment to our troops with knowledge of substance, based on values and respecting the patriotism of each and every person who serves in the Congress.

I know for certain as Speaker of the House that every single person who serves here is patriotic and wants to honor our veterans. I know for certain because I have seen every single person here take an oath of office to protect and defend our Constitution and our country. It is in that spirit that we offer this supplemental that makes America safer, that strengthens our military, and brings stability to the world.

OIG PROTESTS ITS INNOCENCE TOO MUCH

(Mr. POE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. POE. Mr. Speaker, today 6 months after meeting with Members of Congress and with the staff of OIG of Homeland Security about Ramos and Compean, Richard Skinner of the Office of the Inspector General is now saying his staff did not lie to Members of Congress, but his staff was just mistaken about certain facts when it briefed us.

He also is saying the meeting was confidential. I am sure the OIG staff wishes it had been since the staff misled Congress on what occurred at the border.

Is Skinner saying it is okay to mislead Congress in a confidential meeting? Sounds like it to me. The meeting was only confidential in the fantasy world of OIG. And how would Skinner know; he wasn't even there.

His staff not only told Congress inaccurate things about the case, they said they have the documents to prove their assertions. Even after repeatedly asking for such documents, they were never produced. Why? Because they don't exist.

Now that the transcript of the trial is completed, we find out about the inaccurate statements of OIG to Congress.